

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DA	TE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/616,086	07/14/200	00	Masaki Tamaru	32811	6585
116	7590 03	3/13/2003			
PEARNE & GORDON LLP			EXAMINER		
SUITE 1200				VU, HUNG K	
CLEVELAN	ND, OH 44114-1	484		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2811	
•	•				
				DATE MAILED: 03/13/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

B)

Gia Cia	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/616,086	TAMARU ET AL.					
,	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Hung K. Vu	2811					
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 19 February 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) he period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,6,17</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).							
10. Other:	100	in Thomas	43				
	TOM THO	MAS Wooms					
	SUPERMIED 1.1.5 MT TEOMAGEOUS G	enen 2000					
C. Datent and Trademark Office	7 Fac 40 1 0 1 7 7 7						

Continuation She t (PTO-303) -009/616,086

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Extensive amendments to claim 17 raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the permittivity of the insulating layer controls the capacitance between adjacent conductive structures. Effective control of this capacitance is essential in achieving the optimum electrical characteristics of a semiconductor device. So this particular limitation is held inherent in that the distance between the adjacent conductive structures is determined by the permittivity of the insulating layer. In alternative, this limitation (the distance between the adjacent conductive structures being determined by the permittivity of the insulating layer) deals with how the claimed device is made. Therefore, the claimed device must be directed to the product per se, no matter how actually made. Therefore, Applicants' claim 1 does not distinguish over the cited references.